

Date: Tue, 5 Apr 94 14:36:29 PDT  
From: Info-Hams Mailing List and Newsgroup <info-hams@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Info-Hams-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Info-Hams Digest V94 #379  
To: Info-Hams

Info-Hams Digest                      Tue, 5 Apr 94                      Volume 94 : Issue 379

Today's Topics:

73

Amateur Forwarding Rules Ammended  
ARRL Strange Proposals  
ARRL Wrong Proposals  
Commercial Service in 10M Band?  
HAM ON BIKE (2 msgs)  
IPS Monthly Report - March 94  
License Time For 1a Element?

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Info-Hams@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Info-Hams-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Info-Hams Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/info-hams".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----

Date: 5 Apr 94 06:24:02 GMT  
From: agate!howland.reston.ans.net!cs.utexas.edu!news.tamu.edu!idmb-  
secretary.tamu.edu!user@ucbvax.berkeley.edu  
Subject: 73  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <CnqrBM.940@world.std.com>, drt@world.std.com (David R Tucker)  
wrote:

> people prefer "iced water"? (My wife drinks "ice water", which is  
> terribly illogical - how can a liquid be a solid? and if it is, how  
> do you drink ice?

Simple, the freezing point of water (32 F or 0 C) is the point where the  
solid and liquid form can co-exist, therefore, "ice water" is water at

exactly the freezing point :)

Pedantically yours,  
Troyce  
KC5CBI

--

-----  
Please read THE ELEMENT OF FIRE by Martha Wells, a Tor hardback at  
quality bookstores near you. I live with the author and want  
to go back to Disney World this year :)  
-----

-----  
Date: 5 Apr 94 19:14:03 GMT  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!agate!headwall.Stanford.EDU!abercrombie.Stanford.EDU!  
paulf@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: Amateur Forwarding Rules Ammended  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

mcduffie@unlinfo.unl.edu (Gary McDuffie Sr) writes:

>I still see no reason whatsoever for the first BBS to be held  
>accountable. It makes no sense at all. Why should anyone, other than  
>the originator, be held accountable for his actions? He wrote it, he  
>should take the lumps for it. If he uses someone else's call, track  
>him down, like any other mode.

Note that in the Press Release, accountability of the first hop is only  
required if the originating station is not authenticated. Now, what will  
constitute proper authentication is a good question, and we'll have to see  
the actual Part 97 wording change to see what this really means.

--

-Paul Flaherty, N9FZX | "The Enemy of the Good is the Better."  
->paulf@Stanford.EDU | -- Gen. William "Wild Bill" Donovan

-----  
Date: 5 Apr 94 22:58:20 GMT  
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu  
Subject: ARRL Strange Proposals  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

TO : The DXCC Committee  
The DXAC Committee

The ARRL  
All Hams

Gentlemen,

Following our previous message, we cannot avoid writing this second one, for the very simple reason, that yesterday one of us received the January issue of the QST magazine.

In this issue, we noticed an article about the Peter I Island.

Gentlemen of the ARRL, DXCC and DXAC committees, you must have a very strange way of deciding which countries you are going to put in your DXCC list. From what we read in that article (and if our English are not that poor), it is obvious that you went too far, to include the Peter I island in your list, before even someone had requested it.

Now the Peter I Island is a deserted part of land, with no permanent population with only birds, fog and ice being its permanent residents. YOU GENTLEMEN included this God-forgotten place in your DXCC list.

On the other hand, someone from your organization, is proposing to you to delete Mt. Athos. A populated area, with at least one licensed radio amateur, living permanently in the area.

We must say gentlemen, we admire your sense of humour. Because if this proposal wasn't made, just because its originator had a lot of humour, then one must wonder what his real intentions were. We all wish we knew the name(s) of that person in order to be able to contact him and find out what are his real reasons for proposing the deletion of Mt Athos. They most surely had nothing to do with the well-being of our hobby.

We will have to admit gentlemen, that it is either you that forgot the basic principles of our hobby, or that all your advises printed in the books you publish, are nothing but hot air (sorry, a four-letter word would be more appropriate but our good manners forbid its usage).

We urge you to think again, before accepting such a proposal, which will make you openly involved in politics.

LET'S KEEP AMATEUR RADIO AWAY FROM POLITICS

John Caradimas (SV1CEC)  
49, Lomvardou Street  
114-74, Athens  
GREECE

Dimitris Gavalas (SV1BT0)  
47, Kassomouli Street  
117-44, Athens  
GREECE

Danae Nika (SV1CIQ)  
49, Lomvardou Street  
114-74, Athens  
GREECE

Korina Sfakiotaki (SV1CDQ)  
47, Kassomouli Street  
117-44, Athens

Dimitris Kaiafas (SV1BTW)  
16, Manis Street  
124-62, Athens  
GREECE

-----  
Date: 5 Apr 94 22:56:56 GMT  
From: news-mail-gateway@ucsd.edu  
Subject: ARRL Wrong Proposals  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

To :     All Radio Amateurs  
          The DXCC commitee  
          The DXAC commitee

Gentlemen,

A recent "Proposed Vote for Schedule" has been announced by the DXAC Chairman, which includes the topics of Mount Athos Deletion and acceptance of the pseudo-state of the occupied part of Cyprus, in the DXCC list.

It is quite clear to us and probably to every greek radio amateur, that the above proposal, sounds like a blackmail effort or a threat. It can easily be translated to "make SV/A active again, or we delete it, and add the pseudo-state instead", or even to "behave or you will be punished".

We would like to remind to Mr. Chairman, as well as any one else behind these proposals, the followings :

- The pseudo-state that you propose to accept as a DXCC country has resulted from the unprovoked invasion of the Turks military forces in Cyprus, in 1974. It is therefore a result of a violent act, from one country against another. Are you gentlemen of the DXAC commitee going to appraise and encourage such acts, by proposals like the one mentioned above?

- Are you aware gentlemen, that the northern part of Cyprus is still - since 1974- under armed occupation, by the Turkish Armed Forces and

that there are still homeless people, forced to abandon their properties and home land? We very well remember that some years ago, when Iraq invaded Kuwait, an international force was assembled to liberate the occupied country. Of course, such a force was never assembled for Cyprus, but then again, Cyprus is not producing any oil. This however does not give you, or anybody else, the right to create a status quo, with stupid proposals, like yours.

- The pseudo-state gentlemen, has not been recognized by any international organization (like UN or EC) or by any other country, except of course Turkey. Are you gentlemen going to replace these international organizations in their role, or your real intentions are to exercise pressure to these organizations to recognize countries according to your (hidden, possibly financial) wishes (or interests)? Also, are you aware that there have been several appeals to the UN against Turkey, and that Turkey has been condemned by the UN for its acts? Are you encouraging Turkey's acts by your proposals?

- The DXAC, the DXCC and all other radio amateur organizations, as well as radio amateurs themselves, have to stick to the rules of amateur radio, as they are defined after years of ham activity. One of the most basic rules of our hobby is that amateur radio stays clear of politics or personal financial interests. How can it be then, that you are currently taking part in a very old debate between Cyprus and Turkey? Is it possible that financial reasons are behind your proposals?

- The Mount Athos gentlemen is not just a bunch of "mounts". It is a holy area of the Romanian, Yugoslavian, Russian, Bulgarian and Greek churches, or even better put, of the whole Christian Orthodox world. Mount Athos is entirely populated by monks, and Apollo is the only monk in the whole world who is an active radio amateur (at least from what we are aware of). When Apollo stopped its DX activities, a lot of hams around the world complained and urge him to repeat them. Now gentlemen, you are proposing the deletion of SV/A from the DXCC list. Of course, this is not the first time that you are making such proposals, maybe because you consider yourselves authorised to decide on subjects affecting everybody in our hobby, without asking anybody's opinion.

As far as we are concerned, dear fellow hams, we promise you that if these proposals are ever voted, we will stop all DX activities, and will encourage other Greek hams to do the same, so that the SV prefix becomes as rare as the SV/A is today. Then again, the DXCC committee might very well delete SV from their list, but we certainly do not care to be part of a list created by ignorant, politically influenced mappets.

The following radio amateurs are signing this message :

John Caradimas (SV1CEC)  
49, Lomvardou Street  
114-74, Athens  
GREECE

Dimitris Kaiafas (SV1BTW)  
16, Manis Street  
124-62, Chaidari, Athens  
GREECE

Danae Nika (SV1CIQ)  
49 Lomvardou Street  
114-74, Athens  
GREECE

Dimitris Gavalas (SV1BT0)  
47, Kassomouli Street  
117-44, Athens  
GREECE

Korina Sfakiotaki (SV1CDQ)  
47 Kassomouli Street  
117-44, Athens

-----  
Date: Tue, 5 Apr 1994 18:58:09 GMT  
From: worldbank.org!news@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: Commercial Service in 10M Band?  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

While reading this week's copy of Network World (dated March 28th), I noticed on page 58 a table showing the comparisons between the various proposals for global satellite communications. One which caught my eye was the proposal by Bill Gates (a'ka Mr. Microsoft) and McCaw to use 840 (yep, 840) low-orbit satellites using frequencies in the 28mHz range. This compared to Irridium (66 satellies in the 1.6 Ghz range), and Globestar (48 satellites in the 1.6 Ghz range). Interesting proposal... but I doubt whether it would get approved by the WARC.

-- Darrell NR3Y.

-----  
Date: Tue, 05 Apr 94 12:40:43 PDT  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!swrinde!sgiblab!uhog.mit.edu!nntp.club.cc.cmu.edu!  
godot.cc.duq.edu!toads.pgh.pa.us!usenet@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: HAM ON BIKE  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

I'm trying to install a Kenwood 732A (UHF/VHF) Ham radio on my 86 Goldwing SEi.

I would like to intergrate it into the existing Honda intercom/radio system if possible.

Any hints, tricks, traps.

J & M 's CF-400 (Universal Interface) ain't so universal.

fred (WB3CFI)

-----  
Date: 5 Apr 1994 18:52:55 GMT  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!  
news.umbc.edu!eff!blanket.mitre.org!linus.mitre.org!mbunix.mitre.org!  
cookson@network.ucsd.edu  
Subject: HAM ON BIKE  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <2ns4t8\$ch@toads.pgh.pa.us>, <fred@dke.pgh.pa.us> wrote:  
>

>I'm trying to install a Kenwood 732A (UHF/VHF) Ham radio on my 86  
>Goldwing SEi.

Bummer, I had visions of a large hunk of smoked pork bungie corded to  
a luggage rack. Oh well...

--  
Dean Cookson / dcookson@mitre.org / 617 271-2714	DoD #207 AMA #573534
The MITRE Corp. Burlington Rd., Bedford, Ma. 01730	KotNML / KotB
"It's what girls wear below the waist, instead	'92 VFR750F
of boys." --Amy Hillstrom (aka Muffy)	'88 Bianchi Limited

-----  
Date: Tue, 5 Apr 1994 03:28:34 GMT  
From: swrinde!sgiblab!sgigate.sgi.com!olivea!gossip.pyramid.com!  
sword.eng.pyramid.com!pta.pyramid.com.au!warrane.connect.com.au!  
yarrina.connect.com.au!news.uwa.edu.au!harbinger.@ihnp4.ucsd.edu  
Subject: IPS Monthly Report - March 94  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

SUBJ: IPS MONTHLY REPORT - MARCH 1994  
ISSUED BY IPS RADIO AND SPACE SERVICES  
FROM THE REGIONAL WARNING CENTRE (RWC), SYDNEY.

#### 1. SOLAR-GEOPHYSICAL INDICES

Day	SOLAR 10 cm flux	MAGNETIC A-INDEX	AUST T INDEX
March 01	094	10	47
March 02	098	17	73
March 03	101	18	64
March 04	098	03	46

March 05	096	06	76
March 06	096	10	89
March 07	091	37	62
March 08	091	38	-23
March 09	090	33	2
March 10	088	35	-8
March 11	088	29	25
March 12	093	27	6
March 13	090	21	-2
March 14	087	24	45
March 15	087	31	23
March 16	086	19	9
March 17	085	25	8
March 18	087	18	-6
March 19	089	14	43
March 20	089	10	47
March 21	091	22	25
March 22	091	17	18
March 23	092	15	57
March 24	093	18	60
March 25	091	12	44
March 26	089	09	61
March 27	088	09	64
March 28	088	09	63
March 29	086	06	61
March 30	086	09	54
March 31	085	05	10

		10 CM FLUX	SUNSPOT	NUMBER	A INDEX	AUST T INDEX	FLARES
		Monthly Average	Monthly Average	Yearly Average	Monthly Average	Monthly Average	>M1.0
Month							
March	94	90.5	31.7		17.5	36.9	0
February	94	99.5	35.9		22.5	38.0	2
January	94	115.0	58.8		12.4	60.2	11
December	93	104.9	49.4		10.4	56.4	8
November	93	95.8	34.8		11.7	50.0	3
October	93	100.2	55.4		11.6	31.3	3
September	93	86.3	21.7	48.2	12.3	33.6	2
August	93	93.7	42.0	52.1	11.0	48.7	1
July	93	99.0	57.3	54.4	10.6	59.6	4
June	93	109.4	49.1	55.8	13.0	62.6	13
May	93	112.4	61.2	59.6	11.0	64.3	5
April	93	115.5	61.9	63.4	15.7	77.7	3
March	93	136.6	70.5	66.5	18.9	81.5	13

IPS Predicted (Yearly Smoothed) Sunspot Numbers for October 1993-September 1994



Month	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
SSN	45.3	42.7	40.4	38.1	36.1	35.5	34.2	32.3	30.5	27.6	25.2	23.9

Latest T-Indices for IPS Advanced Stand-Alone Prediction System-(ASAPS)

Last update: February 1994 Solar-Geophysical Summary

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
1988	45	42	58	74	72	84	84	95	115	133	117	128
1989	147	164	135	140	141	157	162	149	143	159	164	152
1990	150	128	135	129	126	137	136	137	141	136	122	132
1991	143	177	173	165	136	124	142	128	137	132	122	131
1992	153	173	157	136	97	81	88	67	69	68	85	90
1993	75	78	81	65	63	63	59	50	36	34	33	42*
1994	39*	37*	36*	35*	34*	31*	29*	27*	24*	22*	21*	19*
1995	19*	18*	17*	16*	15*	14*	14*	13*	12*	11*	11*	10*
1996	10*	9*	9*	8*	8*	7*	8*	8*	9*	10*	11*	12*
1997	13*	14*	16*	18*	20*	22*	25*	28*	32*	35*	40*	46*

Asterisk indicates predicted value.

For information concerning ASAPS for an IBM PC (or compatible) contact IPS.

The IPS Monthly T-index is derived from the observed monthly median values of foF2 for each hour at up to 40 ionospheric stations worldwide. These records become available from IPS stations in Australia very soon after each month, but the majority are received up to one year later. This means that the exact observed value of the monthly T-index is not available until some months later.

The predicted smoothed monthly T-indices are computed by using a statistical analysis of the observed monthly T-indices for all solar cycles since 1938. The IPS T-indices may not be updated each month but only when sufficient new data becomes available.

=====

## 2. FLARES AND SHORT-WAVE FADEOUTS

All M flares with an energy greater than or equal to M1 are tabulated under class M flares.

However, times of fade-outs are shown only for flares with an energy greater than X-ray class M3.

DATE	CLASS M	CLASS X	FADEOUT POSSIBLE
	FLARES	FLARES	ON DAYLIGHT CIRCUIT

NO FLARES.

### 2.1 Comments on Solar Activity.

Solar activity was at very low to low levels throughout the month.

March 94 is the first month since June 87 where no M or X class flares have been observed.

The daily 10cm flux again showed little variation throughout the month. The peak value for the month was 101 on March 3. The

minimum value for the month was 85, which was observed on March 17 and 31.

=====

3. GEOMAGNETIC DISTURBANCES (for Learmonth, WA)

DATE COMMENTS

March 3 A period of minor storm levels occurred 09-12UT.

March 7-18 Active to minor storm levels were regularly observed from March 7-12. Unsettled to active levels were then observed March 13-18, with isolated minor storm periods on March 15 and 17.

March 21-24 After subsiding over March 19-20, geomagnetic activity picked up again from March 21-24, with unsettled to active levels. This activity was associated with a long lived recurrent disturbance pattern.

March 25 A period of minor storm levels occurred 15-18UT.

3.1 Comments on Geomagnetic Activity.

A recurrent pattern of geomagnetic activity appears to be emerging in association with the lengthy disturbances observed in February and March. Based on this pattern, significant levels of activity can be expected at times during the period April 3 - April 14. The highest A index for the month was 38, recorded on March 8.

=====

4. IONOSPHERIC DISTURBANCES (for Sydney)

DATE MUFs

March 7-13 Depressions of 15-40% were regularly observed during local daylight hours over this period, with occasional night-time Spread F. F2 layer critical frequencies were regularly observed to drop below F1 layer critical frequencies in the local morning during this time.

March 15-16 Depressions of 15-30% were observed 15/18 - 16/03UT.

March 17-18 Depressions of 15-40% were observed 17/18 - 18/16UT. Night-time Spread F was also noted on both days.

March 21-22 Depressions of 15-25% were observed 21/21 - 22/10UT. Night-time Spread F was noted on March 22.

March 31 Depressions of 15-20% were observed throughout the day.

#### 4.1 Comments on Ionospheric Conditions.

Frequencies were regularly enhanced relative to predicted monthly values up until March 7, and from March 23 onwards.

The most significant depressions occurred on March 8-10, March 13 and March 18.

#### 5. IPS WARNINGS AND ALERTS ISSUED

##### WARNINGS:

NO	ISSUE TIME	ISSUE DATE	BEGIN	END	COMMENTS
07	0511 UT	2 3 1994	3 3 1994	9 3 1994	Magnetic and Ionospheric
08	2338 UT	9 3 1994	10 3 1994	13 3 1994	Magnetic and Ionospheric
09	0208 UT	18 3 1994	18 3 1994	22 3 1994	Magnetic and Ionospheric
10	0044 UT	31 3 1994	3 4 1994	14 4 1994	Magnetic and Ionospheric

SIGNIFICANT EVENT SUMMARY NO	TIME	DATE	COMMENTS
None Issued.			

SWF WARNING NO	TIME	DATE
None Issued.		

DATE OF ISSUE	TYPE OF ALERT
04 Mar	Magnetic
08 Mar	Magnetic
09 Mar	Magnetic
09 Mar	Magnetic
10 Mar	Magnetic
11 Mar	Magnetic
13 Mar	Magnetic
13 Mar	Magnetic
16 Mar	Magnetic
22 Mar	Magnetic

DATE	SWF BEGIN-END (UT)
None issued.	

--

IPS Regional Warning Centre, Sydney	IPS Radio and Space Services
email: rwc@ips.oz.au	PO Box 5606
RWC Duty Forecaster	West Chatswood NSW 2057
Recorded Message	AUSTRALIA

Date: 5 Apr 1994 15:47:43 GMT

From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!dog.ee.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!

vixen.cso.uiuc.edu!newsrelay.iastate.edu!news.iastate.edu!

wjturner@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: License Time For 1a Element?

To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

In article <2nr3j\$4v@jericho.mc.com> levine@mc.com writes:  
>However since the changing of the 610 form which DOES differentiate  
>(yay finally) between Technicians and Technician Plus, you  
>will get Technician Plus licenses.

Has the FCC actually said this? I hadn't heard, and I thought the entire reason for not printing a new license was that it would make too much paperwork and slow everything down. However, I would be interested in knowing for sure...(and not just some guesses because of the new 610)

--

Will Turner, NORDV	-----
wjturner@iastate.edu	"Are you going to have any professionalism,
twp77@isuvax.iastate.edu	or am I going to have to beat it into you?"
TURNERW@vaxld.ameslab.gov	-----

-----

Date: 5 Apr 1994 15:42:19 GMT  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!howland.reston.ans.net!wupost!crcnis1.unl.edu!  
unlinfo.unl.edu!mcduffie@network.ucsd.edu  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <\$arlz05.1994@ampr.org>, <2nr3qv\$t21@crcnis1.unl.edu>,  
<2nrojcs\$5@usenet.INS.CWRU.Edu>  
Subject : Re: Amateur Forwarding Rules Ammended

trier@odin.ins.cwru.edu (Stephen C. Trier) writes:

>The FCC document posted made fleeting reference to the difficulty of  
>authenticating messages.

>A one-time post under a fake call is going to be extremely hard to  
>trace. My job is in networking -- I've traced e-mail forgeries other  
>our local net and the Internet. It is difficult. In amateur radio,  
>the problem is worse because of the mobility of hams.

The implication is that if the originator cannot be tracked down, I will get the blame for his message. That is neither fair, nor legal. I don't believe it would stand up in court. A one time offense WOULD be hard to trace...but then why try. If it happens more than once, it is

a problem. It's like the occasional slip of the tongue, or any other one time offense. It happens. So what. That doesn't mean it is "okay". It just means that it is one of those times that it happens and nothing can be done about it. On the other hand, the repeat offender is going to be easier to find and filter. Let's face it, ANYONE can put someone else's call in his TNC and say whatever he wants. That doesn't mean that SOMEONE ELSE should be made responsible for his actions when no one knows who it is.

This is a bad rule. It should be beat to death! I think the ARRL has once again let us down. As many before me have asked, where are they when they are needed?

I just realized, this has a perfect parallel. If the person who shoots someone bought his gun by using false information when filling out his gun registration, should the dealer be held responsible? I think not. Yes, some idiot relative, looking for revenge, will suit, but the dealer didn't break any law by using what information he was presented with to sell the gun. If a station presents me with his call, who am I to question whether it is his or not, unless it is obvious?

The real question is, what is your purpose (not you personally, I know you didn't agree either), to make SOMEONE pay for the offense, or to FIND THE OFFENDER AND PREVENT IT FROM HAPPENING AGAIN? The answer is obvious, and blaming the sysop will not accomplish it.

73, Gary

-----  
Date: 5 Apr 94 17:41:37 GMT  
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!ihnp4.ucsd.edu!sdd.hp.com!col.hp.com!fc.hp.com!  
paulc@ucbvax.berkeley.edu  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <CnoCCu.s6@armory.com>, <2nn8qb\$j4o@msuinfo.cl.msu.edu>,  
<n1gakCnr5uC.FFA@netcom.com>om  
Subject : Re: Ham radios on planes - Definitive answer

Scott Statton (n1gak@netcom.com) wrote:

: ...  
: Under no circumstances should you operate a cellular mobile  
: telephone while aloft. The frequency reuse only works (and I use  
: the vaguest sense of the word "work") when your car stays on the  
: ground. Going up to 5,000 feet would cause your signal to swamp  
: every cell-site in a major metropolitan area, and is expressly  
: forbidden in the appropriate FCC regulations.

An interesting story I just happened across in the NASA ASRS (Aviation Safety Reporting System) "CALLBACK" newsletter: The pilot of a commercial airliner (not reported which or what kind) had all nav and comm radios fail shortly after takeoff. He had the flight attendant ask if any passenger had a cellular phone. After finding one, he called the tower and coordinated his return that way. Probably would've timed out a ham autopatch, though...

-Paul C.

-----  
Date: Tue, 5 Apr 1994 09:57:50  
From: ihnp4.ucsd.edu!usc!yeshua.marcam.com!news.kei.com!ssd.intel.com!chnews!ornews.intel.com!ccm.hf.intel.com!brett\_miller@network.ucsd.edu  
To: info-hams@ucsd.edu

References <764973671snx@bsdihl.atr.bso.nl>,  
<brett\_miller.89.000EBED5@ccm.hf.intel.com>, <2nhujs\$7hg@news.tamu.edu>m  
Subject : Re: STOP SENDING HAMS ON USENET CRAP !!!

In article <2nhujs\$7hg@news.tamu.edu> furuta@cs.tamu.edu (Richard Furuta) writes:

>I find the hams-on-Usenet lists extremely \*useful\* and am glad they  
>are posted here with regularity. The charter of rec.radio.info  
>suggests that material posted to rec.radio.info should also be posted  
>to another one of the rec.radio groups so limiting it to  
>rec.radio.info would raise opposition. Whether or not this is a  
>reasonable charter is the topic of a different discussion.

I think that is the main problem. Cross-posting such lengthy articles is just a pure waste of bandwidth. IMO, r.r.i is the place it should be. Lets change the rules.

I do not want Mark to feel his work is not appreciated, because this IS a very valuable reference, but then so is the Encyclopedia Britannica, and I wouldn't want to see that posted here either. It would be nice if Mark's info were on a Telnet database server, like a callsign server. That way you could do a quick look-up of other hams email addresses by name or callsign.

Brett Miller N70LQ  
Intel Corp.  
American Fork, UT

brett\_miller@ccm.hf.intel.com

-----

End of Info-Hams Digest V94 #379

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*